PROGRAM REVIEW STEERING COMMITTEE
SPRING 2019 ANNUAL UPDATE
FEEDBACK TEMPLATE

PROGRAM: ART
Author(s): Dr. Marie Ramos

OVERALL ASSESSMENT	
Support of College Strategic Goals:	Established
Planning:	Evolving
SLO/PLO Assessment Status:	Established
Data Analysis:	Evolving

Peer review for purposes of improvement:

Support of College Strategic Goals: The Art program provided a thorough reflection on its progress since last year's comprehensive program review. The program provided specific examples of its efforts to improve student success and support student validation and engagement.

Planning: The program indicated it was reshaping its previous goal due to the need for additional staffing and noted its new primary goal of advocating for the department and the arts in general across the college.

SLO/PLO Assessment Status: The program noted significant advancement in its assessment efforts, including the adoption of a common rubric for art courses. The department also indicated it had established PLOs, which it noted were also assessed.

Data Analysis: The success rates are close overall, keep an eye on the drops for Hispanic and Multi-Ethnic students to make sure that it was a one time drop and not a continuous decline. Needs a better presentation of the analysis success rates, similar to what was done for the online students.

Commendations: The committee commends the Art program on the following:

● Establishing/piloting a common assessment rubric for art courses.

Recommendations: The committee recommends the following:

● Discuss how program goals are informed by student data (qualitative, quantitative, internally collected, externally collected, and/or SLO/PLO assessment data) or labor market/regional information.

Additional Comments:

● The prior year's feedback form was not updated to match the resubmitted Comprehensive Review. This is due to the reforming of the Program Review committees into one committee.
● PRSC is no longer involved in the faculty hiring ranking lists. Since 2016-17, program review bodies have only assessed program review content and passed along the information that the Staffing Prioritization Task Force (and now ROC) uses in making the ranked list.